

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/533,071 | | FURIOSI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | L. E. Crane | | 1623 | |

All Participants:

(1) L. E. Crane.

(2) Candice Lee for Paul Lewis.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was queried concerning whether a response would be forthcoming. Applicant responded that there would be no response and that the case had been intentionally abandoned.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: FAOM mailed, no response received

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)